



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,359	02/26/2004	James H. Brauker	DEXCOM.037A	5145
68851 7590 06/12/2008 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSEN & BEAR, LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614				
EXAMINER BOUCHELLE, LAURA A				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3763				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/12/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/789,359

Applicant(s)

BRAUKER ET AL.

Examiner

LAURA A. BOUCHELLE

Art Unit

3763

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAURA A. BOUCHELLE.

(3) _____.

(2) Laura Johnson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 May 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: the glucose sensor was shown, as well as an example of the integrated system including the continuous output and the single point monitor.

Claim(s) discussed: 15, 25, 29, 32 and 34.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dionne (US 6083523), Lord (us 5569186), Say (US 6175752).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the claims were discussed with regards to the cited prior art. The examiner agreed that the arguments overcame the rejection of claim 25. Amendments to the claims were discussed to overcome the rejection of claims 29, 32, 34. The examiner agreed to consider and make of record prior art suggested by applicant's representative.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Laura A Bouchelle/
Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.